

## Dimensions of difference in evidence reviews: III. Methodological approaches<sup>1</sup>

DAVID GOUGH
EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London

## 1. APPROACH TO REVIEWING <sup>2</sup>

Is the synthesis a priori or iterative in method and aiming to aggregate'facts' or create a new interpretations of ways of understanding? Are the data numbers or words?

Nature of data and analysis in the review predominantly:

- i) A priori specified method of review or iterative approach (for e.g review question, bases for assessment of quality of studies, and/or framework for synthesis emerging during the review)
- ii) Numerical or narrative data
- iii) 'Empirical' or 'conceptual' data
- iv) Relatively homogeneous or heterogeneous data
- v) Numerical or narrative analysis of data in synthesis
- vi) Meta empirical or meta conceptual synthesis of data or both<sup>2, 3</sup>

## 2. EXAMPLES OF APPROACHES TO REVIEWING

Statistical meta analysis

Meta ethnography

- Review question?
- A priori or iterative procedures of review
- Numerical or narrative data
- 'Empirical' or 'conceptual' data
- Most concepts pre-specified or most concepts emergent
- Relatively homogeneous or heterogeneous data
- Numerical, narrative analysis or quantification of qualitative data in synthesis
- Meta empirical or meta conceptual synthesis of data

- Review question?
- A priori or iterative procedures of review
- Numerical or narrative data
- 'Empirical' or 'conceptual' data
- Most concepts pre-specified or most concepts emergent
- Relatively homogeneous or heterogeneous data
- Numerical, narrative analysis or quantification of qualitative data in synthesis
- Meta empirical or meta conceptual synthesis of data

REFERENCES

EPPI-Centre Social Science Research Unit Institute of Education University of London 18 Woburn Square London WC1H ONR UK • http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/ tel +44 (0)20 7612 6397 fax +44 (0)20 7612 6400 ssru@ioe.ac.uk









<sup>1.</sup> Gough D (2007) Dimensions of difference in evidence reviews (Overview; I. Questions, evidence and methods; II..Breadth and depth; III. Methodological approaches; IV. Quality and relevance appraisal; V. Communication, interpretation and application. Series of six posters presented at National Centre for Research Methods meeting, Manchester, January 2007. London: EPPI-Centre.

Gough D (In press) Weight of evidence: a framework for the appraisal of the quality and relevance of evidence In J. Furlong, A. Oancea (Eds.) Applied and Practice-based Research. Special Edition of Research Papers in Education, Summer 2007.
 Harden A, Thomas J (2005) Methodological issues in combining diverse study types in systematic reviews. International Journal of Social Research Methods 8:257-271